UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/788,567	02/27/2004	John Allard	LUP-109	9116	
20988 7590 01/12/2007 OGILVY RENAULT LLP		EXAMINER ·			
1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE			CHARLES,	CHARLES, MARCUS	
SUITE 1600 MONTREAL,	OC H3A2Y3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA			3682		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
	ONTHS	01/12/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/788,567	ALLARD, JOHN
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Marcus Charles	3682
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Fe</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-6, 9-12 and 15 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 7,8,13 and 14 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 27 February 2004.	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal Pa  6) Other:	te

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the first action relating to serial application number 10/788,567 filed 02-04-2004. Claims 1-15 are currently pending.

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) "44" as in paragraph [0031] mentioned in the description. In addition, the drawing does not show reference "122" is an arrow, as mention in paragraph [0044], line 7. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in page 7, paragraph [0031], lines 1 and 4, it is not clear as to what the to tongue (44) is being referred too.

In page 8, para [0037), line 2, the reference number "30" should be --26-- and in

Art Unit: 3682

line 5, "104 and 106" should be deleted. Note "104" and "106" are first and second forward ramps and not apertures as indicated; in page 9, para [0040]. In page 11, para [0053], note the reference "104" and a forward ramp and not an angled wall. It looked like the angled wall is "114". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Allard (6,332,852). Allard discloses the claimed invention including the movable guide portion (90) including a throat (100) that is position and configure to cease the pushing action of the pushing surface when the sprocket is in the desired radial position.

In claim 2, note the radial slotted tracks (44).

In claims 4 and 5, note the sprocket portion (50a) and the pin (80) slidable received in the sprocket portion.

In claims 6, Allard discloses the claimed invention including the biasing element (62) is a compression spring.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

Page 4

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3, 10-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allard in view of Leonard et al. (5,104,354). Allard discloses the claimed invention above, but fails to disclose the stopping elements are generally frustoconical indentations. Leonard et al. disclose a variable sprocket comprising a plurality of generally frusto-conical indentations (460 to improve sliding engagement and disengagement. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the indentations of Allard so that they are generally frusto-conical in view of Leonard et al. in order to improve sliding engagement and disengagement.

# **Double Patenting**

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Art Unit: 3682

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 5

- 8. Claims 1-2, 4-6 and 9 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,332,852. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are obvious variations in breath and scope.
- 9. Claims 3, 10 and 15 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,332,852 in view of Leonard et al. (5,104,354). Patent No. 6,332,852 discloses the claimed invention above, except for the stopping elements are generally frusto-conical indentations. Leonard et al. disclose a variable sprocket comprising a plurality of generally frusto-conical indentations (46) to improve sliding engagement and disengagement. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the indentations of Allard so that they are generally frusto-conical in view of Leonard et al. in order to improve sliding engagement and disengagement.

# Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 7-8 and 13-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3682

### Citation

Page 6

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Husted (4,493,678), DE (3214925), UK (2062142), FR (961243) discloses a variable sprocket of a bicycle.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (571) 272-7101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ridley Richard can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marcus Charles
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3682